

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,622	08/17/2001	William R. Kowalski	2001-5 6302		
7590 12/24/2003			EXAMINER		
Martin E. Hsia			MADSEN, ROBERT A		
P. O. Box 939 Honolulu, HI 96808-0939			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
**************************************			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/932,6	22	KOWALSKI, WILLIAM R.				
		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
		Robert N	/ladsen	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
<i>,</i> —		☐ This action is r	on-final.					
·	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-92 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
2) Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/932,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-89, drawn to a method of treating an animal with a gas to preserve the meat of the animal, classified in class 426, subclass 315.
 - II. Claim 90, drawn to an apparatus for a gas solute containing solution used to treat meat comprising a means to dissolve a gas into a solvent, classified in class 99, subclass 516.
 - III. Claim 91, drawn to an apparatus for treating live fish with carbon monoxide and water comprising a tank, classified in class 119, subclass 51.04.
 - IV. Claim 92, drawn to an apparatus for treating seafood with a colloid solution and carbon monoxide comprising a container, classified in class 99, subclass 516.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Invention I and Inventions II, III, and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). The apparatus of Invention II solely comprises a means for dissolving a gas into a solvent, which could be used to make a beverage. The

•

Application/Control Number: 09/932,622 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

apparatus of Invention III merely comprises a treatment tank, which could be used to "treat" or wash dirty clothes. With respect to Invention III, merely comprises a container, which could be used to store sewage.

- 4. Invention II, III, and IV are all unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Invention II is a means to dissolve a gas into a solvent, whereas both Inventions III and IV are merely a treatment tank and a container, and have different functions than Invention II (i.e. they do not provide a means to dissolve a gas into a solvent). Inventions III and Invention IV are unrelated because, as disclosed, they have different functions,. The treatment tank, as disclosed is used to hold a live fish, whereas a container holds seafood.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Election of Species

- 7. Should applicant elect Group I, applicant is required to make a species election with respect to the following four areas:
 - The animal treated

Application/Control Number: 09/932,622

Art Unit: 1761

- (A) meat (beef, pork, fowl)
- (B) seafood (salmon, tuna, and tilapia)

Should applicant elect (B) seafood, applicant is required to further select a subspecies selection of

- (i) salmon
- (ii) tuna (including yellowfin fish)
- (iii)tilapia
- The living status of the animal
 - (C) Alive
 - (D) Dead
- The respiratory/circulatory method
 - (E) Natural
 - (F) Artificial
- The gas delivery method.
 - (G) Foamed Colloid
 - (H) Capsule
 - (I) Liquid Solvent

Application/Control Number: 09/932,622

Art Unit: 1761

- 8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to a single disclosed species from each of the four said areas for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.
- 9. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 10. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 11. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,622

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Madsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1402. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0061.

Robert Madsen

Examiner Art Unit 1761

PRIMARY EXAMINER /

for M. Cano